arXiv:1612.01400v1 [cs.CV] 25 Nov 2016

A DISTANCE FUNCTION FOR COMPARING STRAIGHT-EDGE GEOMETRIC FIGURES

APOORVA HONNEGOWDA ROOPA AND SHRISHA RAO

Abstract. This paper defines a distance function that measures the dissimilarity between planar geometric figures formed with straight lines. This function can in turn be used in partial matching of different geometric figures. For a given pair of geometric figures that are graphically isomorphic, one function measures the angular dissimilarity and another function measures the edge length disproportionality. The distance function is then defined as the convex sum of these two functions. The novelty of the presented function is that it satisfies all properties of a distance function and the computation of the same is done by projecting appropriate features to a cartesian plane. To compute the deviation from the angular similarity property, the Euclidean distance between the given angular pairs and the corresponding points on the $y = x$ line is measured. Further while computing the deviation from the edge length proportionality property, the best fit line, for the set of edge lengths, which passes through the origin is found, and the Euclidean distance between the given edge length pairs and the corresponding point on a $y = mx$ line is calculated. Iterative Proportional Fitting Procedure (IPFP) is used to find this best fit line. We demonstrate the behavior of the defined function for some sample pairs of figures.

1. Introduction

Two geometric figures can be said to be similar if one of the geometric figures can be obtained by either squeezing or enlarging the other. This implies that the considered geometric figures need to have equal number of vertices and edges, matching corresponding angles, and a fixed proportionality between the corresponding edges. This concept of similarity can be used for partial matching of different geometric figures.

It is well known that geometric shapes and structures are important in determining the behavior of chemical compounds. This is true of smaller molecules [14] as well as larger macromolecules such as DNA and RNA that are studied in bioinformatics [2]. Molecular geometry [4] is thus an important aspect of physical and structural chemistry. However, while it is also known that similarity in structures often implies similar observed chemical properties, there is yet no well defined mathematical approach for comparing geometric shapes, and comparisons are made on an ad hoc basis [12, 13]. Such an approach as proposed here would thus allow for a rigorous evaluation of such properties based on the similarity of shapes with molecules with known properties. Similarity in general has wide-ranging applications in many domains [21].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 65D10 (primary), and 51K05 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. geometric similarity, iterative proportional fitting procedure, euclidean distance.

Image similarity and comparisons also play an important role in other domains, such as in models of visual perception and object recognition in humans as well as animals [16, 1], finance and economics [18], computer vision [15], and video analyses [20]. In such contexts also there is much scope for application of this work.

Existing theory in this matter is far from complete. There are heuristic approaches to morphological similarity [7, 8], but no sound mathematical basis for the detection of geometric similarity. Geometric similarity is particularly important in engineering, in comparing a model and its prototype [6, 10], but there however does not seem to be a proper universal measure of geometric similarity. The measure in common use in engineering is merely scale-free identity, that all corresponding lengths should be in the same ratio—there is thus no way to properly measure inexact similarity, or to quantitatively state that a figure is more similar to a reference figure, than is some other figure.

Using subgraph isomorphism, alike constituent geometric figures of the original geometric figures can be found and checked for similarity. A simple similarity function can return a boolean value of 1 for similar geometric figures and 0 otherwise. However, such a function would have limited applications. In this paper, we define instead a distance function that returns a value between 0 (inclusive) and 1. The returned value reflects the dissimilarity between alike planar geometric figures connected with straight lines.

Therefore, the distance function d is defined only when the graphs representing the given geometric figures are isomorphic [17]. The crux of the function is in the measurement of deviations from angular similarity and edge length proportionality.

The function d is the convex sum of functions α and ρ:

- The function α, which we may call *angular dissimilarity*, measures the deviation from the angular identity between two geometric figures. In order to compute this, angles are projected on a cartesian plane, where the angles of the first geometric figure makes up one axis and the angles of the second geometric figure makes up the other axis. Therefore, a cluster of points in this plane represents corresponding angles of the given geometric figures. If the figures are similar (identical up to scale), the angular similarity property may be said to be satisfied, and the corresponding angle points lie on the $y = x$ line, and the value returned by α is zero. If not, then the deviation from the property is now computed as the distance from the original point to the corresponding point on the $y = x$ line.
- The function ρ, which we may call *edge-length disproportionality*, measures the deviation from edge-length proportionality between geometric figures. In order to compute this, the edge lengths are similarly projected to a cartesian plane, where the edge lengths of the first geometric figure makes up one axis and the edge lengths of the second geometric figure makes up the other axis. The corresponding edge lengths of the given geometric figures are represented as points in this plane. If two figures are proportional (identical up to scale), all corresponding edge-lengths are in a fixed proportion m, all points pass through a line $y = mx$, and the value returned by ρ is zero. In case the edge-lengths are not perfectly proportional, the calculation of ρ comes to finding the best-fit line passing through the origin, and measuring the deviation from that line.

The choice of method to find the best fit line needs to consider the fact that the line should pass through the origin. Using the least-squares method of fitting [5] by adding $(0, 0)$ as one of the corresponding edge-length pairs does not give a proper line passing through the origin. This is the reason that the Iterative Proportional Fitting Procedure (IPFP) [19] is used instead. IPFP tries to find a fixed proportion among a set of pairs, thereby giving points on the line passing through origin.

There are many IPFP [9], of which the one used in this paper is the classical IPFP [3], owing to its simplicity. On obtaining the required points from IPFP, the ratio between any two points gives the values of m, as IPFP creates a fixed proportionality among a set of edge-length pairs. D explains step-by-step the IPFP technique used in this paper. Further, to compute the deviation from the edge-length proportionality, we calculate the Euclidean distance between the original point and the corresponding point on the line $y = mx$. Sum up the Euclidean distances of all edge-length pairs. ρ is computed using this sum and a scaling factor. As the considered geometric figures are alike, the scaling factor is the number of edges in any one of these geometric figures. The need for this scaling factor arises to account for the fact that in a large figure, with a large number of edges, a minor change is less significant in determining overall dissimilarity, than a corresponding change in a smaller figure.

The function d is shown to be a distance function as it satisfies the three properties [11] required: d satisfies the commutativity (Theorem 3.7) and triangular inequality properties (Theorem 3.8) defined over single geometric figures. However, the coincidence axiom is defined over equivalence classes of geometric figures (figures that are alike up to scale). The proofs for these properties are given later in this paper.

2. The Distance Function

The distance function, represented by d, reflects the degree of dissimilarity between figures.

Let, Γ be the set of straight edge figures for which the distance function is defined then

$$\gamma_i = (V_i, E_i, L_i, \Theta_i) \in \Gamma$$

where V_i denotes the set of vertices, E_i is the set of edges, L_i represents the set of corresponding edge lengths and Θ_i denotes the set of angles that are defined between adjacent edges in terms of radian.

Further, if γ_i and γ_j are said to be "similar", then γ_i and γ_j satisfy the below conditions:

(1) If $g_i = (V_i, E_i)$ is a graph that represents the adjacency of figure γ_i and $g_j = (V_j, E_j)$ is a graph that represents the adjacency of figure γ_j, then graphs g_i and g_j are isomorphic.
(2) All the corresponding angles of γ_i and γ_j are equal, i.e.,
if $\Theta_i = \{\theta_i(1), \theta_i(2), \ldots, \theta_i(z)\}$ represent the set of angles of γ_i and
if $\Theta_j = \{\theta_j(1), \theta_j(2), \ldots, \theta_j(z)\}$ represent the set of corresponding angles of γ_j, then

$$\theta_i(1) = \theta_j(1), \theta_i(2) = \theta_j(2), \ldots, \theta_i(z) = \theta_j(z) \tag{2.1}$$

.

(3) All the corresponding edge lengths of γ_i and γ_j are proportional, i.e., if $L_i = \{l_i(1), l_i(2), \ldots, l_i(n)\}$ represent the set of edge lengths of γ_i and if $L_j = \{l_j(1), l_j(2), \ldots, l_j(n)\}$ represent the set of corresponding edge lengths of γ_j, then

$$\frac{l_j(1)}{l_i(1)} = \frac{l_j(2)}{l_i(2)} = \ldots = \frac{l_j(z)}{l_i(z)} = m, \text{ a constant.} \tag{2.2}$$

.

In view of this, the distance function tries to find the extent to which the considered figures deviate from conditions 2 and 3, provided condition 1 is satisfied.

Remark 2.1. A few properties of the d function:

(1) $d : \Gamma \times \Gamma \to [0, 1)$
(2) $d(\gamma_i, \gamma_i) = 0$
(3) $d(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) = 0$, if and only if $\gamma_i \approx \gamma_j$
where $\approx$ denotes that γ_i and γ_j belong to same equivalence class of figures, i.e., are figures that are identical up to scale.
(4) d satisfies the following:

$$d(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \gamma_i \approx \gamma_j, \\ \lambda \in (0, 1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

3. Components of the Distance Function

3.1. **Angular Dissimilarity.** Let α represent the angular dissimilarity function. Then the function is defined as:

$$\alpha : \Gamma \times \Gamma \to [0, 1) \tag{3.1a}$$

$$\alpha(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) = \begin{cases} \nexists & \text{if } \delta(g_i, g_j) = 0, \\ \varphi \in (0, 1] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.1b}$$

where δ represents the graph isomorphism function.

$$\delta : G \times G \to \{0, 1\}$$

with $G = \{g_1, g_2, \ldots\}$: set of all graphs.

$$\delta(g_i, g_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g_i \approx g_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

In (3.2), the symbol $\approx$ denotes that g_i and g_j satisfy all properties of graph isomorphism.

Assuming $\delta(g_1, g_2) = 1, \alpha(\gamma_i, \gamma_j)$ is computed as follows:

Project each corresponding pair $(\theta_i(u), \theta_j(u))$ into a cartesian plane, wherein the x-axis represents the set Θ_i, while the y-axis represents the set Θ_j. The function α computes the deviation from (2.1). In this cartesian plane, according to (2.1), all corresponding pairs must lie on the line:

$$y = x \tag{3.3}$$

For each point $(\theta_i(u), \theta_j(u))$, calculate the Euclidean distance from its corresponding point on the line (3.3), i.e., $(\theta_i(u), \theta_i(u))$.

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{i,j}(u) &= \sqrt{(\theta_i(u) - \theta_i(u))^2 + (\theta_j(u) - \theta_i(u))^2} \\ &= \sqrt{(\theta_j(u) - \theta_i(u))^2} \\ &= |\theta_j(u) - \theta_i(u)| \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore,

$$\alpha(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) = \frac{\sum_{u=1}^{n} \Lambda_{i,j}(u)}{1 + \sum_{u=1}^{n} \Lambda_{i,j}(u)} \tag{3.5}$$

Remark 3.1. A few properties of the α function:

(1) $\alpha(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) \geq 0$
(2) $\alpha(\gamma_i, \gamma_i) = 0$
(3) $\alpha(\gamma_i, \gamma_j)$ (which can be equal to 0), where $i \neq j$

Theorem 3.2. $\alpha(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) = \alpha(\gamma_j, \gamma_i)$

Proof. We see that the constituents of the α function are commutative:

$\Lambda_{i,j}(u) = |\theta_j(u) - \theta_i(u)|$
$\Lambda_{i,j}(u) = \Lambda_{j,i}(u)$, as$|a - b| = |b - a|$

This follows that $\sum_{u=1}^{n} \Lambda_{i,j}(u) = \sum_{u=1}^{n} \Lambda_{j,i}(u) = e$, a constant
Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) &= \frac{\sum_{u=1}^{n} \Lambda_{i,j}(u)}{1 + \sum_{u=1}^{n} \Lambda_{i,j}(u)} \\ &= \frac{e}{1+e} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{u=1}^{n} \Lambda_{j,i}(u)}{1 + \sum_{u=1}^{n} \Lambda_{j,i}(u)} \\ &= \alpha(\gamma_j, \gamma_i) \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.3. $\alpha(\gamma_i, \gamma_k) \leq \alpha(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) + \alpha(\gamma_j, \gamma_k)$

Proof.

$\Lambda_{i,k}(u) = |\theta_k(u) - \theta_i(u)|$
$\Lambda_{i,k}(u) \leq \Lambda_{i,j}(u) + \Lambda_{j,k}(u)$ $\quad \because |c - a| \leq |b - a| + |c - b|$

Summing the above inequality for $p = 1$ to n, it follows that

$$\sum_{u=1}^{n} \Lambda_{i,k}(u) \leq \sum_{u=1}^{n} \Lambda_{i,j}(u) + \sum_{u=1}^{n} \Lambda_{j,k}(u) \tag{3.6}$$

Let e, f and g represent $\sum_{u=1}^{n} \Lambda_{i,k}(u), \sum_{u=1}^{n} \Lambda_{i,j}(u)$ and $\sum_{u=1}^{n} \Lambda_{j,k}(u)$ respectively.

The inequality (3.6) now translates to

$$e \leq f + g \tag{3.7}$$

Assume that the contradiction of Theorem 3.3 is true, i.e,

$$\alpha(\gamma_i, \gamma_k) > \alpha(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) + \alpha(\gamma_j, \gamma_k) \tag{3.8}$$

$$\frac{e}{1+e} > \frac{f}{1+f} + \frac{g}{1+g}$$

On simplification,

$$e > (f+g) + (2fg + efg) \tag{3.9}$$

As the quantity $(2fg + efg) > 0$, the inequality (3.9) contradicts already proved inequality (3.7). Hence, (3.8) does not hold true, thereby proving Theorem 3.3. □

3.2. **Edge-Length Disproportionality.** Let ρ represent the edge-length disproportionality function. Then the function is defined as:

$$\rho : \Gamma \times \Gamma \to [0, 1) \tag{3.10a}$$

$$\rho(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) = \begin{cases} \lightning & \text{if } \delta(g_i, g_j) = 0, \\ \tau \in (0, 1] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.10b}$$

Assuming $\delta(g_1, g_2) = 1, \rho(\gamma_i, \gamma_j)$ is computed as follows:

Project each corresponding pair $(l_i(h), l_j(h))$ into a cartesian plane, wherein the x-axis represents the set L_i, while the y-axis represents the set L_j. The function ρ computes the deviation from (2.2). Consider a part of the same equation.

$$\frac{l_j(h)}{l_i(h)} = m, \text{ a constant} \tag{3.11}$$

In the context of the L_iL_j plane, (3.11) gives the slope of a line that passes through $(0, 0)$and$(l_i(h), l_j(h))$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Slope of a line, } m &= \frac{(y_2 - y_1)}{(x_2 - x_1)} \\ &= \frac{(l_j(h) - 0)}{(l_i(h) - 0)} \\ &= (3.11) \end{aligned}$$

Further extending this concept, it can be seen that in order to satisfy (2.2) all points $(l_i(h), l_j(h))$ should lie on the same line. Therefore, finding edge length proportionality now boils down to finding for the set of corresponding edge-length pairs the best fit line, which passes though origin.

Let the equation of the required line be:

$$y = mx, \text{ as the line passes through origin.} \tag{3.12}$$

Using IPFP each point $(l_i(h), l_j(h))$ is transformed to $(l'_i(h), l'_j(h))$, which is a point on the line 3.12.

On finding the desired line, the euclidean distance between $(l_i(h), l_j(h))$ and $(l'_i(h), l'_j(h))$ is computed.

$$\Delta_{i,j}(h) = \sqrt{(l_i(h) - l'_i(h))^2 + (l_j(h) - l'_j(h))^2} \tag{3.13}$$

Therefore,

$$\rho(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^{n} \Delta_{i,j}(h)}{n + \sum_{h=1}^{n} \Delta_{i,j}(h)} \tag{3.14}$$

Remark 3.4. A few properties of the ρ function:

(1) $\rho(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) \geq 0$
(2) $\rho(\gamma_i, \gamma_i) = 0$
(3) $\rho(\gamma_i, \gamma_j)$, where $i \neq j$ can be equal to 0.

Theorem 3.5. $\rho(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) = \rho(\gamma_j, \gamma_i)$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.2. □

Theorem 3.6. $\alpha(\gamma_i, \gamma_k) \leq \alpha(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) + \alpha(\gamma_j, \gamma_k)$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.3. □

3.3. **Deriving the Function.** The function $d(\gamma_i, \gamma_j)$ is the convex sum of $\alpha(\gamma_i, \gamma_j)$ and $\rho(\gamma_i, \gamma_j)$.

$$d : \Gamma \times \Gamma \nrightarrow [0, 1) \tag{3.15a}$$

(3.15b)

$$d(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) = \begin{cases} \lightning & \text{if } \delta(g_i, g_j) = 0, \\ \beta\alpha(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) + (1 - \beta)\rho(\gamma_i, \gamma_j), \text{ where } \beta \in [0, 1] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

While computing d using (3.15b) in A, B, C and D, the value of β is set to 0.5, to equally weight the α and ρ functions. However, other values of $\beta \in [0, 1]$ can be used resulting in similar outcomes for the d function.

Theorem 3.7. $d(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) = d(\gamma_j, \gamma_i)$

Proof. According to (3.15b),

$$\begin{aligned} d(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) &= \beta\alpha(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) + (1 - \beta)\rho(\gamma_j, \gamma_i), && \text{where } \beta \in [0, 1] \\ &= \beta\alpha(\gamma_j, \gamma_i) + (1 - \beta)\rho(\gamma_i, \gamma_j), && \text{from Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.5} \\ &= d(\gamma_j, \gamma_i). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.8. $d(\gamma_i, \gamma_k) \leq d(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) + d(\gamma_j, \gamma_k)$

Proof. According to (3.15b), $\beta \in [0, 1]$

Multiplying by β both sides of the inequality in Theorem 3.3, we get:

$$\beta\alpha(\gamma_i, \gamma_k) \leq \beta\alpha(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) + \beta\alpha(\gamma_j, \gamma_k) \tag{3.16}$$

Multiplying by $(1 - \beta)$ both sides of the inequality in Theorem 3.6, we get:

$$(1 - \beta)\rho(\gamma_i, \gamma_k) \leq (1 - \beta)\rho(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) + (1 - \beta)\rho(\gamma_j, \gamma_k) \tag{3.17}$$

Summing up inequalities (3.16) and (3.17), it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta\alpha(\gamma_i, \gamma_k) + (1 - \beta)\rho(\gamma_i, \gamma_k) \\ &\qquad \leq \beta\alpha(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) + (1 - \beta)\rho(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) + \beta\alpha(\gamma_j, \gamma_k) + (1 - \beta)\rho(\gamma_j, \gamma_k) \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

$d(\gamma_i, \gamma_k) \leq d(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) + d(\gamma_j, \gamma_k)$. □

4. Results

Using the above discussed method to compute the distance function, d, this section tabulates the results for a few pairs of figures. It can be found that the values of d in Table 1 are reflective of the dissimilarity of considered figures. The same can be said for α and ρ values.

Figures to be compared		α	ρ	d
1a	1b	0.8073	0.4689	0.6381
4a	4b	0.8073	0.7883	0.7978
7a	7b	0.9281	0.9074	0.9177
10a	10b	0.9201	0.7177	0.8189

TABLE 1. Results obtained for a few pairs of figures. See Appendices A, B, C and D for more details regarding the tabulated results.

REFERENCES

1. Donald S. Blough, *The perception of similarity*, Avian Visual Cognition (Robert G. Cook, ed.), September 2001.
2. P. E. Bourne and J. Gu, *Structural bioinformatics*, 2 ed., Wiley, 2009.
3. W. Edwards Deming and Frederick F. Stephan, *On a Least Squares Adjustment of a Sampled Frequency Table When the Expected Marginal Totals are Known*, The Annals of Mathematical Statistics **11** (1940), no. 4, 427–444.
4. Ronald J. Gillespie and Edward A. Robinson, *Models of molecular geometry*, Chemical Society Reviews **34** (2005), 396–407.
5. B. S. Grewal and J. S. Grewal, *Higher Engineering Mathematics*, 40 ed., Khanna Publishers, New Delhi, 2007.
6. Valentin Heller, *Scale effects in physical hydraulic engineering models*, ournal of Hydraulic Research **49** (2011), no. 3, 293–306.
7. Maciej Komosinski, Grzegorz Koczyk, and Marek Kubiak, *On estimating similarity in artificial and real organisms*, Theory in Biosciences **120** (2001), no. 3–4, 271–286.
8. Maciej Komosinski and Marek Kubiak, *Quantitative measure of structural and geometric similarity of 3D morphologies*, Complexity **16** (2011), no. 6, 40–52.
9. Michael Lahr and Louis de Mesnard, *Biproportional Techniques in Input-Output Analysis: Table Updating and Structural Analysis*, Economic Systems Research **16** (2004), no. 2, 115–134.
10. G. Pallett, *Geometric similarity—some applications in fluid mechanics*, Education + Training **3** (1961), no. 2, 36–37.
11. Walter Rudin, *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*, McGrawHill Inc., New York, 1976.
12. Ayusman Sen, Venkatasuryanarayana Chebolu, and Arnold L. Rheingold, *First structurally characterized geometric isomers of an eight-coordinate complex. structural comparison between cis- and trans-diiodobis(2,5,8-trioxanonane)samarium*, Inorganic Chemistry **26** (1987), no. 11, 1821–1823.
13. A. Stashans, G. Chamba, and H. Pinto, *Electronic structure, chemical bonding, and geometry of pure and Sr-doped CaCO3*, Journal of Computational Chemistry **29** (2008), no. 3, 343–349.
14. H. Stephen Stoker, *General, organic, and biological chemistry*, Cengage Learning, 2009.
15. Chris Sweeney, Laurent Kneip, Tobias Höllerer, and Matthew Turk, *Computing similarity transformations from only image correspondences*, The IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR 2015), June 2015, doi:10.1109/CVPR.2015.7298951, pp. 3305–3313.
16. Michael J. Tarr and Heinrich H. Bülthoff, *Image-based object recognition in man, monkey and machine*, Cognition **67** (1998), no. 1–2, 1–20, doi:10.1016/S0010-0277(98)00026-2.
17. J. R. Ullmann, *An Algorithm for Subgraph Isomorphism*, Journal of the ACM **23** (1976), no. 1, 31–42.
18. Maximilian Vermorken, Ariane Szafarz, and Hugues Pirotte, *Sector classification through non-gaussian similarity*, Applied Financial Economics **20** (2008), no. 11, doi:10.1080/09603101003636238.



(A) $\boldsymbol{\gamma_1}$ (B) $\boldsymbol{\gamma_2}$

FIGURE 1

19. David W. S. Wong, *The Reliability of Using the Iterative Proportional Fitting Procedure*, 1992, pp. 340–348.
20. Shiuh-Sheng Yu, Jinn-Rong Liou, and Wen-Chin Shen, *Computational similarity based on chromatic barycenter algorithm*, IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics **42** (1996), no. 2, 216–220.
21. Bilal Zaka, *Theory and applications of similarity detection techniques*, Ph.D. thesis, Institute for Information Systems and Computer Media (IICM), Graz University of Technology, Graz, Austria, 2 2009.

APPENDIX A. COMPUTING d FOR FIGURES 1A AND 1B

Computing $\alpha(\gamma_i, \gamma_j)$

$$\Theta_1 = \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{5\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{5\pi}{6}, \frac{3\pi}{4} \right\}$$

$$\Theta_2 = \left\{ \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{4\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{2\pi}{3} \right\}$$

Using (3.4) we compute the Euclidean distance.

$$\Lambda_{1,2}(1) = \frac{\pi}{6} \quad \Lambda_{1,2}(2) = \frac{5\pi}{12} \quad \Lambda_{1,2}(3) = \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \Lambda_{1,2}(4) = 0 \quad \Lambda_{1,2}(5) = \frac{\pi}{6} \quad \Lambda_{1,2}(6) = \frac{\pi}{12}$$

$$\sum_{u=1}^{6} \Lambda_{1,2}(u) = \frac{4\pi}{3}$$

$\boldsymbol{\alpha(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} = 0.8073$, using (3.5)

Figure 2 shows the relation between the corresponding elements of Θ_1 and Θ_2. It also indicates, for each pair $\langle \theta_1(u), \theta_2(u) \rangle$ the corresponding point, $\langle \theta_1(u), \theta_1(u) \rangle$, on the $y = x$ line. Further, Table 2 provides the legend for this figure.



FIGURE 2. Solid dots show the existing relation between Θ_1 and Θ_2, whereas the line passing through the hollow dots shows the expected relation between Θ_1 and Θ_2

$A \in \{\langle\theta_1(4),\theta_2(4)\rangle, \langle\theta_1(4),\theta_1(4)\rangle\}$	$B \in \{\langle\theta_1(1),\theta_1(1)\rangle\}$
$C \in \{\langle\theta_1(1),\theta_2(1)\rangle\}$	$D \in \{\langle\theta_1(2),\theta_2(2)\rangle\}$
$E \in \{\langle\theta_1(6),\theta_2(6)\rangle\}$	$F \in \{\langle\theta_1(6),\theta_1(6)\rangle\}$
$G \in \{\langle\theta_1(5),\theta_2(5)\rangle\}$	$H \in \{\langle\theta_1(3),\theta_1(3)\rangle, \langle\theta_1(5),\theta_1(5)\rangle\}$
$I \in \{\langle\theta_1(3),\theta_2(3)\rangle\}$	

TABLE 2. Legend of Figure 2

Computing $\rho(\gamma_i, \gamma_j)$

$L_1 = \{4\sqrt{2}, 4\sqrt{2}, 6, 8, 8, 6\}$

$L_2 = \{12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12\}$

Table 3 indicates the input and output of IPFP transformation.

h	$\boldsymbol{l_1(h)}$	$\boldsymbol{l_2(h)}$	TOTAL
1	5.6569	12	17.6569
2	5.6569	12	17.6569
3	6	12	18
4	8	12	20
5	8	12	20
6	6	12	18
TOTAL	**39.3138**	**72**	**111.3138**

(A) Values on which IPFP is to be performed

h	$\boldsymbol{l'_1(h)}$	$\boldsymbol{l'_2(h)}$	TOTAL
1	6.236	11.4208	17.6569
2	6.236	11.4208	17.6569
3	6.3572	11.6428	18
4	7.0636	12.9364	20
5	7.0636	12.9364	20
6	6.3572	11.6428	18
TOTAL	**39.3138**	**72**	**111.3138**

(B) Values obtained on applying IPFP

TABLE 3. IPFP Transformation

Considering any row from the Table 3b, we compute m.

$m = \dfrac{11.4208}{6.236} = 1.8314$

$y = 1.8314x$, equation of the expected line

Figure 3 shows the relation between the corresponding elements of L_1 and L_2. It also indicates, for each pair, $\langle l_1(h), l_2(h)\rangle$, the corresponding point, $\langle l_1'(h), l_2'(h)\rangle$, on line $y = 1.8314x$ line. Further, Table 4 provides the legend for this figure.



FIGURE 3. Solid dots show the existing relation between L_1 and L_2, whereas the line passing through the hollow dots shows the expected relation between L_1 and L_2

$A \in \{\langle l_1(1), l_2(1)\rangle, \langle l_1(2), l_2(2)\rangle\}$ $\quad B \in \{\langle l_1(3), l_2(3)\rangle, \langle l_1(6), l_2(6)\rangle\}$
$C \in \{\langle l_1'(1), l_2'(1)\rangle, \langle l_1'(2), l_2'(2)\rangle\}$ $\quad D \in \{\langle l_1'(3), l_2'(3)\rangle, \langle l_1'(6), l_2'(6)\rangle\}$
$E \in \{\langle l_1'(4), l_2'(4)\rangle, \langle l_1'(5), l_2'(5)\rangle\}$ $\quad F \in \{\langle l_1(4), l_2(4)\rangle, \langle l_1(5), l_2(5)\rangle\}$

TABLE 4. Legend of Figure 3

Now, the Euclidean Distance is computed using (3.13)
$\Delta_{1,2}(1) = 0.8191 \quad \Delta_{1,2}(2) = 0.8191 \quad \Delta_{1,2}(3) = 0.5052$
$\Delta_{1,2}(4) = 1.3243 \quad \Delta_{1,2}(5) = 1.3243 \quad \Delta_{1,2}(6) = 0.5052$

$$\sum_{h=1}^{6} \Delta_{1,2}(h) = 5.2971$$

$\boldsymbol{\rho(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} = 0.4689$, using (3.14)

Computing $d(\gamma_i, \gamma_j)$

$\boldsymbol{d(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} = 0.6381$, with $\beta = 0.5$, using (3.15b)

Appendix B. Computing d for Figures 4a and 4b



(A) $\boldsymbol{\gamma_3}$ (B) $\boldsymbol{\gamma_4}$

Figure 4

Computing $\alpha(\gamma_3, \gamma_4)$

$$\Theta_3 = \left\{\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{6}\right\}$$

$$\Theta_4 = \left\{\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{3}\right\}$$

Using (3.4) we compute the Euclidean distance.

$$\Lambda_{3,4}(1) = \frac{\pi}{6} \quad \Lambda_{3,4}(2) = 0 \quad \Lambda_{3,4}(3) = \frac{\pi}{4}$$

$$\Lambda_{3,4}(4) = \frac{\pi}{4} \quad \Lambda_{3,4}(5) = \frac{\pi}{4} \quad \Lambda_{3,4}(6) = \frac{\pi}{4}$$

$$\Lambda_{3,4}(7) = \frac{\pi}{6}$$

$$\sum_{u=1}^{7} \Lambda_{3,4}(u) = \frac{4\pi}{3}$$

$\boldsymbol{\alpha(\gamma_3, \gamma_4)} = 0.8073$, using (3.5)

Figure 5 shows the relation between the corresponding elements of Θ_3 and Θ_4. It also indicates, for each pair $\langle \theta_3(u), \theta_4(u) \rangle$ the corresponding point, $\langle \theta_3(u), \theta_3(u) \rangle$, on the $y = x$ line. Further, Table 5 provides the legend for this figure.



FIGURE 5. Solid dots show the existing relation between Θ_3 and Θ_4, whereas the line passing through the hollow dots shows the expected relation between Θ_3 and Θ_4

$A \in \{\langle \theta_3(7), \theta_3(7) \rangle\}$	$B \in \{\langle \theta_3(7), \theta_4(7) \rangle\}$
$C \in \{\langle \theta_3(3), \theta_3(3) \rangle, \langle \theta_3(5), \theta_3(5) \rangle\}$	$D \in \{\langle \theta_3(3), \theta_4(3) \rangle, \langle \theta_3(5), \theta_4(5) \rangle\}$
$E \in \{\langle \theta_3(2), \theta_4(2) \rangle, \langle \theta_3(2), \theta_3(2) \rangle\}$	$F \in \{\langle \theta_3(1), \theta_4(1) \rangle\}$
$G \in \{\langle \theta_3(1), \theta_3(1) \rangle\}$	$H \in \{\langle \theta_3(4), \theta_4(4) \rangle, \langle \theta_3(6), \theta_4(6) \rangle\}$
$I \in \{\langle \theta_3(4), \theta_3(4) \rangle, \langle \theta_3(6), \theta_3(6) \rangle\}$	

TABLE 5. Legend of Figure 5

Computing $\rho(\gamma_3, \gamma_4)$

$L_3 = \{5\sqrt{(3)}, 5, 10, 4, 10, 4\}$

$L_4 = \{8, 8, 8, 16, 8, 16\}$

Table 6 indicates the input and output of IPFP transformation.

h	$l_3(h)$	$l_4(h)$	TOTAL
1	8.6603	8	16.6603
2	5	8	13
3	10	8	18
4	4	16	20
5	10	8	18
6	4	16	20
TOTAL	**41.6603**	**64**	105.6603

(A) Values on which IPFP is to be performed

h	$l'_3(h)$	$l'_4(h)$	TOTAL
1	6.5689	10.0914	16.6603
2	5.1257	7.8743	13
3	7.0971	10.9029	18
4	7.8857	12.1143	20
5	7.0971	10.9029	18
6	7.8857	12.1143	20
TOTAL	**41.6603**	**64**	105.6603

(B) Values obtained on applying IPFP

TABLE 6. IPFP Transformation

Considering any row from the Table 6b, we compute m

$$m = \frac{10.0914}{6.5689} = 1.5362$$

$y = 1.5362x$, equation of the expected line

Figure 6 shows the relation between the corresponding elements of L_3 and L_4. It also indicates, for each pair $\langle l_3(h), l_4(h)\rangle$ the corresponding point, $\langle l'_3(h), l'_4(h)\rangle$, on the $y = 1.5362x$ line. Further, Table 7 provides the legend for this figure.



FIGURE 6. Solid dots show the existing relation between L_3 and L_4, whereas the line passing through the hollow dots shows the expected relation between L_3 and L_4

$A \in \{\langle l_3(4), l_4(4)\rangle, \langle l_3(6), l_4(6)\rangle\}$	$B \in \{\langle l_3(2), l_4(2)\rangle\}$
$C \in \{\langle l'_3(2), l'_4(2)\rangle\}$	$D \in \{\langle l'_3(1), l'_4(1)\rangle\}$
$E \in \{\langle l'_3(3), l'_4(3)\rangle, \langle l'_3(5), l'_4(5)\rangle\}$	$F \in \{\langle l'_3(4), l'_4(4)\rangle, \langle l'_3(6), l'_4(6)\rangle\}$
$G \in \{\langle l_3(1), l_4(1)\rangle\}$	$H \in \{\langle l_3(3), l_4(3)\rangle, \langle l_3(5), l_4(5)\rangle\}$

TABLE 7. Legend of Figure 6

Now, the Euclidean Distance is computed using (3.13)

$\Delta_{3,4}(1) = 2.9577 \quad \Delta_{3,4}(2) = 0.1778 \quad \Delta_{3,4}(3) = 4.1053$
$\Delta_{3,4}(4) = 5.4952 \quad \Delta_{3,4}(5) = 4.1053 \quad \Delta_{3,4}(6) = 5.4952$

$$\sum_{h=1}^{6} \Delta_{3,4}(h) = 22.3365$$

$\boldsymbol{\rho(\gamma_3, \gamma_4)} = 0.7883$, using (3.14)

Computing $d(\gamma_3, \gamma_4)$

$\boldsymbol{d(\gamma_3, \gamma_4)} = 0.7978$, with $\beta = 0.5$, using (3.15b)

APPENDIX C. COMPUTING d FOR FIGURES 7A AND 7B

Computing $\alpha(\gamma_5, \gamma_6)$, we get:

$$\Theta_5 = \left\{ \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{5\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{5\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{6}, \pi, \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{6} \right\}$$

$$\Theta_6 = \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{7\pi}{12}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{11\pi}{18}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{5\pi}{9}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{5\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4} \right\}$$

Using (3.4) we compute the Euclidean distance.

$\Lambda_{3,4}(1) = \frac{\pi}{6} \quad \Lambda_{3,4}(2) = \frac{\pi}{4} \quad \Lambda_{3,4}(3) = \frac{\pi}{6} \quad \Lambda_{3,4}(4) = \frac{\pi}{6} \quad \Lambda_{3,4}(5) = \frac{\pi}{12} \quad \Lambda_{3,4}(6) = \frac{\pi}{4}$
$\Lambda_{3,4}(7) = \frac{\pi}{4} \quad \Lambda_{3,4}(8) = \frac{\pi}{4} \quad \Lambda_{3,4}(9) = 0 \quad \Lambda_{3,4}(10) = \frac{\pi}{4} \quad \Lambda_{3,4}(11) = \frac{2\pi}{9} \quad \Lambda_{3,4}(12) = \frac{\pi}{4}$
$\Lambda_{3,4}(13) = 0 \quad \Lambda_{3,4}(14) = \frac{\pi}{4} \quad \Lambda_{3,4}(15) = \frac{7\pi}{18} \quad \Lambda_{3,4}(16) = \frac{\pi}{6} \quad \Lambda_{3,4}(17) = \frac{\pi}{4} \quad \Lambda_{3,4}(18) = \frac{\pi}{12}$
$\Lambda_{3,4}(19) = \frac{\pi}{6} \quad \Lambda_{3,4}(20) = \frac{\pi}{6} \quad \Lambda_{3,4}(21) = \frac{\pi}{12} \quad \Lambda_{3,4}(22) = \frac{\pi}{12} \quad \Lambda_{3,4}(23) = \frac{\pi}{12} \quad \Lambda_{3,4}(24) = \frac{\pi}{12}$

$$\sum_{u=1}^{24} \Lambda_{5,6}(u) = \frac{37\pi}{9}$$

$\boldsymbol{\alpha(\gamma_5, \gamma_6)} = 0.9281$, using (3.5)

Figure 8 shows the relation between the corresponding elements of Θ_5 and Θ_6. It also indicates, for each pair $\langle \theta_5(u), \theta_6(u)\rangle$ the corresponding point, $\langle \theta_5(u), \theta_5(u)\rangle$, on the $y = x$ line. Further, Table 8 provides the legend for this figure.



(A) γ_5 (B) γ_6

FIGURE 7



FIGURE 8. Solid dots show the existing relation between Θ_5 and Θ_6, whereas the line passing through the hollow dots shows the expected relation between Θ_5 and Θ_6

$A \in \{\langle\theta_5(5),\theta_5(5)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(15),\theta_5(15)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(18),\theta_5(18)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(24),\theta_5(24)\rangle\}$

$B \in \{\langle\theta_5(5),\theta_6(5)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(18),\theta_6(18)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(24),\theta_6(24)\rangle\}$

$C \in \{\langle\theta_5(15),\theta_6(15)\rangle\}$

$D \in \{\langle\theta_5(8),\theta_5(8)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(9),\theta_6(9)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(9),\theta_5(9)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(12),\theta_5(12)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(13),\theta_6(13)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(13),\theta_5(13)\rangle\}$

$E \in \{\langle\theta_5(8),\theta_6(8)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(12),\theta_6(12)\rangle\}$

$F \in \{\langle\theta_5(21),\theta_6(21)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(22),\theta_6(22)\rangle\}$

$G \in \{\langle\theta_5(1),\theta_5(1)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(4),\theta_5(4)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(16),\theta_5(16)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(20),\theta_5(20)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(21),\theta_5(21)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(22),\theta_5(22)\rangle\}$

$H \in \{\langle\theta_5(1),\theta_6(1)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(4),\theta_6(4)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(16),\theta_6(16)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(20),\theta_6(20)\rangle\}$

$I \in \{\langle\theta_5(2),\theta_6(2)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(6),\theta_6(6)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(10),\theta_6(10)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(14),\theta_6(14)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(17),\theta_6(17)\rangle\}$

$J \in \{\langle\theta_5(2),\theta_5(2)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(3),\theta_5(3)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(6),\theta_5(6)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(10),\theta_5(10)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(14),\theta_5(14)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(17),\theta_5(17)\rangle\}$

$K \in \{\langle\theta_5(3),\theta_6(3)\rangle\}$

$M \in \{\langle\theta_5(23),\theta_5(23)\rangle\}$

$N \in \{\langle\theta_5(23),\theta_6(23)\rangle\}$

$O \in \{\langle\theta_5(7),\theta_6(7)\rangle\}$

$P \in \{\langle\theta_5(11),\theta_6(11)\rangle\}$

$Q \in \{\langle\theta_5(7),\theta_5(7)\rangle, \langle\theta_5(11),\theta_5(11)\rangle\}$

$R \in \{\langle\theta_5(19),\theta_6(19)\rangle\}$

$S \in \{\langle\theta_5(19),\theta_5(19)\rangle\}$

TABLE 8. Legend of Figure 8

Computing $\rho(\gamma_5, \gamma_6)$

$L_5 = \{20, 10, 10\sqrt{3}, 20, 10\sqrt{3}, 10, 7\sqrt{2}, 7, 7, 40\sqrt{2}, 40, 40, 5\sqrt{3}, 15, 10\sqrt{3}, 7, 7, 7\}$

$L_4 = \{5, 5, 5\sqrt{2}, 5(\sqrt{6}+\sqrt{2}), 5\sqrt{2}, 5(\sqrt{6}+\sqrt{2}), 5(3+\sqrt{3}), 5\sqrt{6}(\sqrt{3}+1), 5(3+\sqrt{3}),$
$20.8075, 29.426, 20.8075, 8.217, 11.6205, 8.217, 5(3+\sqrt{3}), 5\sqrt{6}(\sqrt{3}+1), 5(3+\sqrt{3})\}$

Table 9 indicates the input and output of IPFP transformation.

h	$\boldsymbol{l_5(h)}$	$\boldsymbol{l_6(h)}$	TOTAL
1	20	5	25
2	10	5	15
3	17.3205	7.0711	24.3916
4	20	19.3185	39.3185
5	17.3205	27.3205	44.641
6	10	19.3185	29.3185
7	9.8995	23.6603	33.5598
8	7	33.4607	40.4607
9	7	23.6603	30.6603
10	56.5685	20.8075	77.376
11	40	29.426	69.426
12	40	20.8075	60.8075
13	8.6603	8.217	16.8773
14	15	11.6205	26.6205
15	17.3205	8.217	25.5375
16	7	23.6603	30.6603
17	7	33.4607	40.4607
18	7	23.6603	30.6603
TOTAL	**317.0898**	**343.6864**	660.7762

(A) Values on which IPFP is to be performed

h	$\boldsymbol{l'_5(h)}$	$\boldsymbol{l'_6(h)}$	TOTAL
1	11.9969	13.0031	25
2	7.1981	7.8019	15
3	11.7049	12.6867	24.3916
4	18.868	20.4505	39.3185
5	21.4221	23.2189	44.641
6	14.0692	15.2493	29.3185
7	16.1045	17.4553	33.5598
8	19.4161	21.0446	40.4607
9	14.7131	15.9472	30.6603
10	37.1308	40.2452	77.376
11	33.3158	36.1102	69.426
12	29.18	31.6275	60.8075
13	8.099	8.7783	16.8773
14	12.7745	13.846	26.6205
15	12.2548	13.2827	25.5375
16	14.7131	15.9472	30.6603
17	19.4161	21.0446	40.4607
18	14.7131	15.9472	30.6603
TOTAL	**317.0898**	**343.6864**	660.7762

(B) Values obtained on applying IPFP

TABLE 9. IPFP Transformation

Considering any row from the Table 9b, we compute m

$$m = \frac{13.0031}{11.9969} = 1.0839$$

$y = 1.0839x$, equation of the expected line

Figure 9 shows the relation between the corresponding elements of L_5 and L_6. It also indicates, for each pair $\langle l_5(h), l_6(h)\rangle$ the corresponding point, $\langle l'_5(h), l'_6(h)\rangle$, on the $y = 1.0839x$ line. Further, Table 10 provides the legend for this figure.



FIGURE 9. Solid dots show the existing relation between L_5 and L_6, whereas the line passing through the hollow dots shows the expected relation between L_5 and L_6

$A = \langle 7, 23.6603\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_5(9), l_6(9)\rangle, \langle l_5(16), l_6(16)\rangle,$
$\langle l_5(18), l_6(18)\rangle\}$
$B = \langle 7, 33.4607\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_5(8), l_6(8)\rangle, \langle l_5(17), l_6(17)\rangle\}$
$C = \langle 7.1981, 7.8019\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_5(2), l'_6(2)\rangle\}$
$D = \langle 8.099, 8.7783\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_5(13), l'_6(13)\rangle\}$
$E = \langle 8.6603, 8.217\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_5(13), l_6(13)\rangle\}$
$F = \langle 9.8995, 23.6603\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_5(7), l_6(7)\rangle\}$
$G = \langle 10, 5\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_5(2), l_6(2)\rangle\}$
$H = \langle 10, 19.3185\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_5(6), l_6(6)\rangle\}$
$I = \langle 11.7049, 12.6867\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_5(3), l'_6(3)\rangle\}$
$J = \langle 11.9969, 13.0031\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_5(1), l'_6(1)\rangle\}$
$K = \langle 12.2548, 13.2827\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_5(15), l'_6(15)\rangle\}$
$M = \langle 12.7745, 13.846\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_5(14), l'_6(14)\rangle\}$
$N = \langle 14.0692, 15.2493\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_5(6), l'_6(6)\rangle\}$
$O = \langle 14.7131, 15.9472\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_5(9), l'_6(9)\rangle, \langle l'_5(16), l'_6(16)\rangle,$
$\langle l'_5(18), l'_6(18)\rangle\}$
$P = \langle 15, 11.6205\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_5(14), l_6(14)\rangle\}$
$Q = \langle 16.1045, 17.4553\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_5(7), l'_6(7)\rangle\}$
$R = \langle 17.3205, 7.0711\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_5(3), l_6(3)\rangle\}$
$S = \langle 17.3205, 8.217\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_5(15), l_6(15)\rangle\}$
$T = \langle 17.3205, 27.3205\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_5(5), l_6(5)\rangle\}$
$U = \langle 18.868, 20.4505\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_5(4), l'_6(4)\rangle\}$
$V = \langle 19.4161, 21.0446\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_5(8), l'_6(8)\rangle, \langle l'_5(17), l'_6(17)\rangle\}$
$W = \langle 20, 5\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_5(1), l_6(1)\rangle\}$
$X = \langle 20, 19.3185\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_5(4), l_6(4)\rangle\}$
$Y = \langle 21.4221, 23.2189\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_5(5), l'_6(5)\rangle\}$
$Z = \langle 29.18, 31.6275\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_5(12), l'_6(12)\rangle\}$
$A' = \langle 33.3158, 36.1102\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_5(11), l'_6(11)\rangle\}$

$B' = \langle 37.1308, 40.2452\rangle$	$C' = \langle 40, 20.8075\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_5(10), l'_6(10)\rangle\}$	$\in \{\langle l_5(12), l_6(12)\rangle\}$
$D' = \langle 40, 29.426\rangle$	$E' = \langle 56.5685, 20.8075\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_5(11), l_6(11)\rangle\}$	$\in \{\langle l_5(10), l_6(10)\rangle\}$

TABLE 10. Legend of Figure 9

Now, the Euclidean Distance is computed using (3.13)

$\Delta_{5,6}(1) = 11.3181$	$\Delta_{5,6}(2) = 3.9625$	$\Delta_{5,6}(3) = 7.9417$	$\Delta_{5,6}(4) = 1.6009$
$\Delta_{5,6}(5) = 5.8005$	$\Delta_{5,6}(6) = 5.7547$	$\Delta_{5,6}(7) = 8.7752$	$\Delta_{5,6}(8) = 17.5589$
$\Delta_{5,6}(9) = 10.9079$	$\Delta_{5,6}(10) = 27.4891$	$\Delta_{5,6}(11) = 9.4529$	$\Delta_{5,6}(12) = 15.3018$
$\Delta_{5,6}(13) = 0.7938$	$\Delta_{5,6}(14) = 3.1473$	$\Delta_{5,6}(15) = 7.164$	$\Delta_{5,6}(16) = 10.9079$
$\Delta_{5,6}(17) = 17.5589$	$\Delta_{5,6}(18) = 10.9079$		

$$\sum_{h=1}^{18} \Delta_{5,6}(h) = 176.3443$$

$\boldsymbol{\rho(\gamma_5, \gamma_6)} = 0.9074$, using (3.14)

Computing $d(\gamma_5, \gamma_6)$

$\boldsymbol{d(\gamma_5, \gamma_6)} = 0.9177$, with $\beta = 0.5$, using (3.15b)

APPENDIX D. IPFP: STEP-BY-STEP

Consider Figures 10a and 10b



(A) $\boldsymbol{\gamma_7}$ (B) $\boldsymbol{\gamma_8}$

FIGURE 10

Computing $\alpha(\gamma_7, \gamma_8)$

$$\Theta_7 = \left\{ \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{5\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{5\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{13\pi}{36}, \frac{59\pi}{72}, \frac{13\pi}{72}, \frac{23\pi}{36}, \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{11\pi}{18}, \frac{7\pi}{36}, \frac{29\pi}{36}, \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{7\pi}{18}, \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \pi, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{5\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{12}, \frac{11\pi}{12}, \frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{5\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{8}, \frac{7\pi}{8}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4} \right\}$$

$$\Theta_8 = \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{8}, \frac{7\pi}{8}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{8}, \frac{7\pi}{8}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{8}, \frac{7\pi}{8}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{8}, \frac{7\pi}{8}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4} \right\}$$

Using (3.4) we compute the Euclidean distance.

$\Lambda_{7,8}(1) = \frac{\pi}{6}$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(2) = \frac{\pi}{12}$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(3) = \frac{\pi}{12}$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(4) = \frac{\pi}{6}$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(5) = \frac{\pi}{12}$

$\Lambda_{7,8}(6) = \frac{\pi}{4}$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(7) = \frac{\pi}{24}$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(8) = \frac{5\pi}{24}$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(9) = \frac{\pi}{4}$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(10) = \frac{\pi}{12}$

$\Lambda_{7,8}(11) = \frac{5\pi}{36}$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(12) = \frac{5\pi}{72}$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(13) = \frac{5\pi}{72}$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(14) = \frac{5\pi}{36}$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(15) = 0$

$\Lambda_{7,8}(16) = \frac{5\pi}{36}$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(17) = \frac{5\pi}{72}$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(18) = \frac{5\pi}{72}$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(19) = \frac{5\pi}{36}$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(20) = 0$

$\Lambda_{7,8}(21) = \frac{\pi}{4}$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(22) = \frac{\pi}{4}$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(23) = 0$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(24) = 0$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(25) = \frac{\pi}{12}$

$\Lambda_{7,8}(26) = \frac{\pi}{12}$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(27) = \frac{\pi}{24}$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(28) = \frac{\pi}{24}$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(29) = \frac{\pi}{12}$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(30) = \frac{\pi}{12}$

$\Lambda_{7,8}(31) = 0$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(32) = \frac{\pi}{4}$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(33) = \frac{\pi}{4}$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(34) = 0$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(35) = 0$

$\Lambda_{7,8}(36) = 0$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(37) = 0$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(38) = 0$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(39) = 0$ $\Lambda_{7,8}(40) = 0$

$$\sum_{u=1}^{40} \Lambda_{7,8}(u) = \frac{11\pi}{3}$$

$\boldsymbol{\alpha(\gamma_7, \gamma_8)} = 0.9201$, using (3.5)

Figure 11 shows the relation between the corresponding elements of Θ_7 and Θ_8. It also indicates, for each pair $\langle \theta_7(u), \theta_8(u) \rangle$ the corresponding point, $\langle \theta_7(u), \theta_7(u) \rangle$, on the $y = x$ line. Further, Table 11 provides the legend for this figure.



FIGURE 11. Solid dots show the existing relation between Θ_7 and Θ_8, whereas the line passing through the hollow dots shows the expected relation between Θ_7 and Θ_8

$$A = \left\langle \frac{\pi}{12}, \frac{\pi}{12} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(27), \theta_7(27) \rangle\}$$

$$B = \left\langle \frac{\pi}{12}, \frac{\pi}{8} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(27), \theta_8(27) \rangle\}$$

$$C = \left\langle \frac{\pi}{8}, \frac{\pi}{8} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(37), \theta_8(37) \rangle, \langle \theta_7(37), \theta_7(37) \rangle\}$$

$$D = \left\langle \frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{8} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(7), \theta_8(7) \rangle\}$$

$$E = \left\langle \frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{6} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(3), \theta_7(3) \rangle, \langle (\theta_7(7), \theta_7(7) \rangle, \langle \theta_7(29), \theta_7(29) \rangle\}$$

$$F = \left\langle \frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{4} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(3), \theta_8(3) \rangle, \langle \theta_7(29), \theta_8(29) \rangle\}$$

$$G = \left\langle \frac{13\pi}{72}, \frac{13\pi}{72} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(13), \theta_7(13) \rangle\}$$

$$H = \left\langle \frac{13\pi}{72}, \frac{\pi}{4} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(13), \theta_8(13) \rangle\}$$

$$I = \left\langle \frac{7\pi}{36}, \frac{\pi}{8} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(17), \theta_8(17) \rangle\}$$

$$J = \left\langle \frac{7\pi}{36}, \frac{7\pi}{36} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(17), \theta_7(17) \rangle\}$$

$$K = \left\langle \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(21), \theta_7(21) \rangle, \langle \theta_7(23), \theta_8(23) \rangle, \langle \theta_7(23), \theta_7(23) \rangle, \langle \theta_7(39), \theta_8(39) \rangle, \langle \theta_7(39), \theta_7(39) \rangle\}$$

$$M = \left\langle \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(21), \theta_8(21) \rangle\}$$

$$N = \left\langle \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(1), \theta_7(1) \rangle\}$$

$$O = \left\langle \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(1), \theta_8(1) \rangle\}$$

$$P = \left\langle \frac{13\pi}{36}, \frac{13\pi}{36} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(11), \theta_7(11) \rangle\}$$

$$Q = \left\langle \frac{13\pi}{36}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(11), \theta_8(11) \rangle\}$$

$$R = \left\langle \frac{7\pi}{18}, \frac{\pi}{4} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(19), \theta_8(19) \rangle\}$$

$$S = \left\langle \frac{7\pi}{18}, \frac{7\pi}{18} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(19), \theta_7(19) \rangle\}$$

$$T = \left\langle \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{4} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(9), \theta_8(9)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(33), \theta_8(33)\rangle\}$$

$$U = \left\langle \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(6), \theta_7(6)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(9), \theta_7(9)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(24), \theta_8(24)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(24), \theta_7(24)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(31), \theta_8(31)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(31), \theta_7(31)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(32), \theta_7(32)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(33), \theta_7(33)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(34), \theta_8(34)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(34), \theta_7(34)\rangle\}$$

$$V = \left\langle \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{4} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(6), \theta_8(6)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(32), \theta_8(32)\rangle\}$$

$$W = \left\langle \frac{11\pi}{18}, \frac{11\pi}{18} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(16), \theta_7(16)\rangle\}$$

$$X = \left\langle \frac{11\pi}{18}, \frac{3\pi}{4} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(16), \theta_8(16)\rangle\}$$

$$Y = \left\langle \frac{23\pi}{36}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(14), \theta_8(14)\rangle\}$$

$$X = \left\langle \frac{11\pi}{18}, \frac{3\pi}{4} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(16), \theta_8(16)\rangle\}$$

$$Y = \left\langle \frac{23\pi}{36}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(14), \theta_8(14)\rangle\}$$

$$Z = \left\langle \frac{23\pi}{36}, \frac{23\pi}{36} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(14), \theta_7(14)\rangle\}$$

$$A' = \left\langle \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(4), \theta_8(4)\rangle\}$$

$$B' = \left\langle \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{2\pi}{3} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(4), \theta_7(4)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(8), \theta_7(8)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(10), \theta_7(10)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(25), \theta_7(25)\rangle\}$$

$$C' = \left\langle \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{3\pi}{4} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(10), \theta_8(10)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(25), \theta_8(25)\rangle\}$$

$$D' = \left\langle \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{7\pi}{8} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(8), \theta_8(8)\rangle\}$$

$$E' = \left\langle \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(15), \theta_8(15)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(15), \theta_7(15)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(20), \theta_8(20)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(20), \theta_7(20)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(35), \theta_8(35)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(35), \theta_7(35)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(36), \theta_8(36)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(36), \theta_7(36)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(40), \theta_8(40)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(40), \theta_7(40)\rangle\}$$

$$F' = \left\langle \frac{29\pi}{36}, \frac{29\pi}{36} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(18), \theta_7(18)\rangle\}$$

$$G' = \left\langle \frac{29\pi}{36}, \frac{7\pi}{8} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(18), \theta_8(18)\rangle\}$$

$$H' = \left\langle \frac{59\pi}{72}, \frac{3\pi}{4} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(12), \theta_8(12)\rangle\}$$

$$I' = \left\langle \frac{59\pi}{72}, \frac{59\pi}{72} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(12), \theta_7(12)\rangle\}$$

$$J' = \left\langle \frac{5\pi}{6}, \frac{3\pi}{4} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(2), \theta_8(2)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(5), \theta_8(5)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(26), \theta_8(26)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(30), \theta_8(30)\rangle\}$$

$$K' = \left\langle \frac{5\pi}{6}, \frac{5\pi}{6} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(2), \theta_7(2)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(5), \theta_7(5)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(26), \theta_7(26)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(30), \theta_7(30)\rangle\}$$

$$M' = \left\langle \frac{7\pi}{8}, \frac{7\pi}{8} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(38), \theta_8(38)\rangle, \langle \theta_7(38), \theta_7(38)\rangle\}$$

$$N' = \left\langle \frac{11\pi}{12}, \frac{7\pi}{8} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(28), \theta_8(28)\rangle\}$$

$$O' = \left\langle \frac{11\pi}{12}, \frac{11\pi}{12} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(28), \theta_7(28)\rangle\}$$

$$P' = \left\langle \pi, \frac{3\pi}{4} \right\rangle \in \{\langle \theta_7(22), \theta_8(22)\rangle\}$$

$$Q' = \langle \pi, \pi \rangle$$
$$\in \{\langle \theta_7(22), \theta_7(22) \rangle\}$$

TABLE 11. Legend of Table 11

Computing $\rho(\gamma_7, \gamma_8)$

$L_7 = \{10, 5, 10\sqrt{3}, 15, 4, 8.0718, 8, 8, 4, 13.4944, 12, 2\sqrt{6}, 7.101, 8.026, 10, 5\sqrt{2}, 5\sqrt{2}, 10,$
$4, 6, 2(\sqrt{6} + \sqrt{2}), 8, 12, 8, 12, 2\sqrt{2}, 9.1716, 5.2264\}$

$L_8 = \{10, 10, 10\sqrt{2}, 20, 10, 10, 18.478, 10, 10, 10\sqrt{2}, 20, 10, 10, 18.478, 10, 10, 10\sqrt{2},$
$20, 10, 10, 18.478, 10, 10, 10\sqrt{2}, 20, 10, 10, 18.478\}$

Tables 12, 13, 14a and 14b give a detailed explanation of the IPFP transformation used in this paper.

(1) Table 12 gives the row sum and column sum that will be maintained in row fitting and column fitting respectively.
(2) Table 13, is the initial table, on which row fitting of the first iteration is performed.
(3) Table 14a, is the result of row fitting. The value of each cell is obtained as follows:
$$r_{n,o} = \frac{q_{n,o} * s_r(n)}{s_q(n)}$$
where, $r_{n,o}$ represents the value in nth row and oth column of Table 14a, $q_{n,o}$ represents the value in nth row and oth column of Table 13, $s_r(n)$ represents the nth row sum of Table 14a and $s_q(n)$ represents the nth row sum of Table 13.
(4) Table 14b, is the result of column fitting. The value of each cell is obtained as follows:
$$c_{n,o} = \frac{r_{n,o} * s_c(o)}{s_r(o)}$$
where, $c_{n,o}$ represents the value in nth row and oth column of Table 14b, $r_{n,o}$ represents the value in nth row and oth column of Table 14a, $s_c(o)$ represents the oth column sum of Table 14b and $s_r(o)$ represents the oth row sum of Table 14a.

The iteration stops after Table 14b, as the column and row sums of this table are equal to that of Table 12, up to 3 decimal places.

h	$\boldsymbol{l_7(h)}$	$\boldsymbol{l_8(h)}$	**TOTAL**
1	10	10	**20**
2	5	10	**15**
3	17.3205	14.1421	**31.4626**
4	15	20	**35**
5	4	10	**14**
6	8.0718	10	**18.0718**
7	8	18.478	**26.478**
8	8	10	**18**
9	4	10	**14**
10	13.4944	14.1421	**27.6365**
11	12	20	**32**
12	4.899	10	**14.899**
13	7.101	10	**17.101**
14	8.026	18.478	**26.504**
15	10	10	**20**
16	7.0711	10	**17.0711**
17	7.0711	14.1421	**21.2132**
18	10	20	**30**
19	4	10	**14**
20	6	10	**16**
21	7.7274	18.478	**26.2054**
22	8	10	**18**
23	12	10	**22**
24	8	14.1421	**22.1421**
25	12	20	**32**
26	2.8284	10	**12.8284**
27	9.1716	10	**19.1716**
28	5.2264	18.478	**23.7044**
TOTAL	**234.0087**	**370.4805**	**604.4892**

TABLE 12. Table whose rows are populated with corresponding elements of sets L_7 and L_8. This table is constructed to compute the Row and Column totals that needs to be maintained in Row Fitting and Column Fitting respectively

h	$\boldsymbol{l'_7(h)}$	$\boldsymbol{l'_8(h)}$	**TOTAL**
1	1	1	**2**
2	1	1	**2**
3	1	1	**2**
4	1	1	**2**
5	1	1	**2**
6	1	1	**2**
7	1	1	**2**
8	1	1	**2**
9	1	1	**2**
10	1	1	**2**
11	1	1	**2**
12	1	1	**2**
13	1	1	**2**
14	1	1	**2**
15	1	1	**2**
16	1	1	**2**
17	1	1	**2**
18	1	1	**2**
19	1	1	**2**
20	1	1	**2**
21	1	1	**2**
22	1	1	**2**
23	1	1	**2**
24	1	1	**2**
25	1	1	**2**
26	1	1	**2**
27	1	1	**2**
28	1	1	**2**
TOTAL	**28**	**28**	**56**

TABLE 13. Initial table. The table on which the Row fitting of the first iteration.

h	$l'_7(h)$	$l'_8(h)$	TOTAL
1	10	10	**20**
2	7.5	7.5	**15**
3	15.7313	15.7313	**31.4626**
4	17.5	17.5	**35**
5	7	7	**14**
6	9.0359	9.0359	**18.0718**
7	13.239	13.239	**26.478**
8	9	9	**18**
9	7	7	**14**
10	13.81825	3.818251	**27.6365**
11	16	16	**32**
12	7.4495	7.4995	**14.899**
13	8.5505	8.5505	**17.101**
14	13.2520	13.2520	**26.5040**
15	10	10	**20**
16	8.53555	8.53555	**17.0711**
17	10.6066	10.6066	**21.2132**
18	15	15	**30**
19	7	7	**14**
20	8	8	**16**
21	13.1027	13.1027	**26.2054**
22	9	9	**18**
23	11	11	**22**
24	11.07105	11.07105	**22.1421**
25	16	16	**32**
26	6.4142	6.142	**12.8284**
27	9.5858	9.5858	**19.1716**
28	11.8522	11.8522	**23.7044**
total	**302.2446**	**302.2446**	**604.4892**

(A) Table obtained on performing row fitting.

h	$l'_7(h)$	$l'_8(h)$	TOTAL
1	7.7424	12.2576	20
2	5.8068	9.1932	15
3	12.1798	19.2829	31.4627
4	13.5491	21.4509	35
5	5.4197	8.5803	14
6	6.9959	11.0759	18.0718
7	10.2501	16.2279	26.478
8	6.9681	11.0319	18
9	5.4197	8.5803	14
10	10.6986	16.9379	27.6365
11	12.3878	19.6122	32
12	5.7677	9.1313	14.899
13	6.6201	10.4809	17.101
14	10.2602	16.2438	26.504
15	7.7424	12.2576	20
16	6.6085	10.4625	17.071
17	8.212	13.0012	21.2132
18	11.6135	18.3865	30
19	5.4197	8.5803	14
20	6.1939	9.8061	16
21	10.1446	16.0608	26.2054
22	6.9681	11.0319	18
23	8.5166	13.4834	22
24	8.5716	13.5705	22.1421
25	12.3878	19.6122	32
26	4.9661	7.8623	12.8284
27	7.4217	11.7499	19.1716
28	9.1764	14.5280	23.7044
TOTAL	**234.0087**	**370.4805**	604.4892

(B) Table obtained on performing column fitting.

TABLE 14. IPFP First Iteration

Considering any row from the Table 14b, we compute m

$m = \dfrac{12.2576}{7.7424} = 1.5832$

$y = 1.5832x$, equation of the expected line

Figure 12 shows the relation between the corresponding elements of L_7 and L_8. It also indicates, for each pair $\langle l_7(h), l_8(h)\rangle$ the corresponding point, $\langle l'_7(h), l'_8(h)\rangle$, on the $y = 1.5985x$ line. Further, Table 15 provides the legend for this figure.



FIGURE 12. Solid dots show the existing relation between L_7 and L_8, whereas the line passing through the hollow dots shows the expected relation between L_7 and L_8

$A = \langle 2.8284, 10\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_7(26), l_8(26)\rangle\}$
$B = \langle 4, 10\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_7(5), l_8(5)\rangle, \langle l_7(9), l_8(9)\rangle, \langle l_7(19), l_8(19)\rangle\}$
$C = \langle 4.899, 10\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_7(12), l_8(12)\rangle\}$
$D = \langle 4.9661, 7.8623\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_7(26), l'_8(26)\rangle\}$
$E = \langle 5, 10\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_7(2), l_8(2)\rangle\}$
$F = \langle 5.2264, 18.478\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_7(28), l_8(28)\rangle\}$
$G = \langle 5.4197, 8.5803\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_7(5), l'_8(5)\rangle, \langle l'_7(9), l'_8(9), \langle l'_7(19), l'_8(19)\rangle\}$
$H = \langle 5.7677, 9.1313\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_7(12), l'_8(12)\rangle\}$
$I = \langle 5.8068, 9.1932\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_7(2), l'_8(2)\rangle\}$
$J = \langle 6, 10\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_7(20), l_8(20)\rangle\}$
$K = \langle 6.1939, 9.8061\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_7(20), l'_8(20)\rangle\}$
$M = \langle 6.6085, 10.4625\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_7(16), l'_8(16)\rangle\}$
$N = \langle 6.6201, 10.4809\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_7(13), l'_8(13)\rangle\}$
$O = \langle 6.9681, 11.0319\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_7(8), l'_8(8)\rangle, \langle l'_7(22), l'_8(22)\rangle\}$
$P = \langle 6.9959, 11.0759\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_7(6), l'_8(6)\rangle\}$
$Q = \langle 7.0711, 10\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_7(16), l_8(16)\rangle\}$
$R = \langle 7.0711, 14.1421\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_7(17), l_8(17)\rangle\}$
$S = \langle 7.101, 10\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_7(13), l_8(13)\rangle\}$
$T = \langle 7.4217, 11.7499\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_7(27), l'_8(27)\rangle\}$
$U = \langle 7.7274, 18.4780\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_7(21), l_8(21)\rangle\}$
$V = \langle 7.7424, 12.2576\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_7(1), l'_8(1)\rangle, \langle l'_7(15), l'_8(15)\rangle\}$
$W = \langle 8, 10\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_7(8), l_8(8)\rangle, \langle l_7(22), l_8(22)\rangle\}$
$X = \langle 8, 14.1421\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_7(24), l_8(24)\rangle\}$
$Y = \langle 8, 18.478\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_7(7), l_8(7)\rangle\}$
$Z = \langle 8.0260, 18.4780\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_7(14), l_8(14)\rangle\}$
$A' = \langle 8.0718, 10\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_7(6), l_8(6)\rangle\}$
$B' = \langle 8.2120, 13.0012\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_7(17), l'_8(17)\rangle\}$
$C' = \langle 8.5166, 13.4834\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_7(23), l'_8(23)\rangle\}$

$D' = \langle 8.5716, 13.5705\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_7(24), l'_8(24)\rangle\}$
$E' = \langle 8.521, 13.6211\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_7(24), l'_8(24)\rangle\}$
$F' = \langle 9.1764, 14.5280\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_7(28), l'_8(28)\rangle\}$
$G' = \langle 10, 10\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_7(1), l_8(1)\rangle, \langle l_7(15), l_8(15)\rangle\}$
$H' = \langle 10, 20\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_7(18), l_8(18)\rangle\}$
$I' = \langle 10.1446, 16.0608\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_7(21), l'_8(21)\rangle\}$
$J' = \langle 10.2501, 16.2279\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_7(7), l'_8(7)\rangle\}$
$K' = \langle 10.2602, 16.2438\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_7(14), l'_8(14)\rangle\}$
$M' = \langle 10.6986, 16.9379\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_7(10), l'_8(10)\rangle\}$
$N' = \langle 11.6135, 18.3865\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_7(18), l'_8(18)\rangle\}$
$O' = \langle 12, 10\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_7(23), l_8(23)\rangle\}$
$P' = \langle 12, 20\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_7(11), l_8(11)\rangle, \langle l_7(25), l_8(25)\rangle\}$
$Q' = \langle 12.1798, 19.2829\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_7(3), l'_8(3)\rangle\}$
$R' = \langle 12.387819.6122\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_7(11), l'_8(11)\rangle, \langle l'_7(25), l'_8(25)\rangle\}$
$S' = \langle 13.4944, 14.1421\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_7(10), l_8(10)\rangle\}$
$T' = \langle 13.549121.4509\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l'_7(4), l'_8(4)\rangle\}$
$U' = \langle 15, 20\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_7(4), l_8(4)\rangle\}$
$V' = \langle 17.3205, 14.1421\rangle$
$\in \{\langle l_7(3), l_8(3)\rangle\}$

TABLE 15. Legend of Figure 12

Now, the Euclidean Distance is computed using (3.13)

$\Delta_{7,8}(1) = 3.1928$	$\Delta_{7,8}(2) = 1.1409$	$\Delta_{7,8}(3) = 7.2701$	$\Delta_{7,8}(4) = 2.0518$
$\Delta_{7,8}(5) = 2.0077$	$\Delta_{7,8}(6) = 1.5215$	$\Delta_{7,8}(7) = 3.1821$	$\Delta_{7,8}(8) = 1.2285$
$\Delta_{7,8}(9) = 2.0077$	$\Delta_{7,8}(10) = 3.9539$	$\Delta_{7,8}(11) = 0.5484$	$\Delta_{7,8}(12) = 1.1803$
$\Delta_{7,8}(13) = 0.6801$	$\Delta_{7,8}(14) = 3.1596$	$\Delta_{7,8}(15) = 3.1928$	$\Delta_{7,8}(16) = 0.6541$
$\Delta_{7,8}(17) = 1.6135$	$\Delta_{7,8}(18) = 2.2819$	$\Delta_{7,8}(19) = 2.0077$	$\Delta_{7,8}(20) = 0.2742$
$\Delta_{7,8}(21) = 3.4184$	$\Delta_{7,8}(22) = 1.4593$	$\Delta_{7,8}(23) = 4.9263$	$\Delta_{7,8}(24) = 0.8084$
$\Delta_{7,8}(25) = 0.5484$	$\Delta_{7,8}(26) = 3.0231$	$\Delta_{7,8}(27) = 2.4748$	$\Delta_{7,8}(28) = 5.5861$

$$\sum_{h=1}^{28} \Delta_{7,8}(h) = 65.6736$$

$\boldsymbol{\rho(\gamma_7, \gamma_8)} = 0.7011$, using (3.14)

Computing $d(\gamma_7, \gamma_8)$

$\boldsymbol{d(\gamma_7, \gamma_8)} = 0.8106$, with $\beta = 0.5$, using (3.15b)